From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: DOREEN J. GRIDLEY ICE MILLER	PCT			
ICE MILLER	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF			
ONE AMERICAN SQUARE	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF			
BOX 82001	THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL			
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46282-0200	SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION			
	(PCT Rule 44.1)			
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference P00873-WO-01	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below			
International application No. PCT/US04/41694	International filing date (day/month/year) 13 December 2004 (13.12.2004)			
Applicant INDIANA UNIVERSITY AND RESEARCH TECHNOLOG	CORPORATION			
The applicant is hereby notified that the international Authority have been established and are transmitted h	search report and the written opinion of the International Searching erewith.			
Filing of amendments and statement under Article The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the	19: e claims of the international application (see Rule 46):			
	s is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international			
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of W 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile				
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the				
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.				
	dditional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:			
the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.				
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.				
4. Reminders				
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.				
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not				
before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date. Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respe	ct of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary			
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.				
	onths (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.			
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Authorized officer Shoil H Voney energy			
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	John R. Sanders Paralegal Specialist			
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (703) 308-05seh. Center 3700			
Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)	te: (See notes on accompanying sheet)			
Received: 4//	105 chan			
Deadline: 105 11	129/05 den			
Docketed: 9/1/	05 chm			

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: DOREEN J. GRIDLEY	PCT V				
ICE MILLER ONE AMERICAN SQUARE BOX 82001 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46282-0200	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION				
	(PCT Rule 44.1)				
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 29 AUG 2005				
Applicant's or agent's file reference P00873-WO-01	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No. PCT/US04/41694	International filing date (day/month/year) 13 December 2004 (13.12.2004)				
Applicant INDIANA UNIVERSITY AND RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY	CORPORATION				
The applicant is hereby notified that the international sea Authority have been established and are transmitted here	rch report and the written opinion of the International Searching with.				
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19 The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the c					
When? The time limit for filing such amendments i search report.	s normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international				
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIP 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.					
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the	accompanying sheet.				
	ch report will be established and that the declaration under the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.				
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition	3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:				
the protest together with the decision thereon has be request to forward the texts of both the protest and	the decision thereon to the designated Offices.				
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the ap	oplicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.				
4. Reminders					
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.					
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.					
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.					
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.					
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site	e applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized officer				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	John R. Sanders Sheilh H. Venely Paralegal Specialist				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (703) 308-08 sech. Center 3700				

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P00873-WO-01	FOR FURTHER ACTION		orm PCT/ISA/220 re applicable, item 5 below.
International application No. PCT/US04/41694	International filing date (day/m 13 December 2004 (13.12.2004)		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 12 December 2003 (12.12.2003)
Applicant INDIANA UNIVERSITY AND RESEARC	CH TECHNOLOGY CORP		
according to Article 18. A copy is being This international search report consists of	transmitted to the Internationa	l Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant in this report.
Basis of the Report a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, ur			s of the international application in the
The international			tion of the international application
b. With regard to any nucleotic	de and/or amino acid sequence	disclosed in the	e international application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were found	unsearchable (See Box No. II)		
3. Unity of invention is lacking	g (See Box No. III)		
4. With regard to the title,			
the text is approved as submi	• • •		
the text has been established	by this Authority to read as follo	ows:	
5. With regard to the abstract,			
the text is approved as submit	tted by the applicant.		
		is Authority as	it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant
			report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regard to the drawings,	and the second second second second	N- C	
a. the figure of the drawings to be p		ire No. <u>2</u>	
as suggested by the a	•	iled to suggest	a figure
	uthority, because the applicant fa		_
b. none of the figures is to be pu	uthority, because this figure bette	CI CIIAI ACIGI IZES	s die divention.
o. I none of the rightes is to be pu	ionancu with the abstract.		<u></u>

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/41694

			1 01/0304/	
A. CLAS	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER			
IPC(7)	: A61B 3/00			1
US CL	: 351/246			1
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both na	ational classification and	1 IPC	
B. FIEL	DS SEARCHED			
Minimum do	cumentation searched (classification system followed	hy classification symbo	ls)	
	51/205, 246	-, -, -,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	
U.S J.	J. 1. 203, 2TO			
Documentation	on searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such docum	nents are incl	luded in the fields searched
•				.
Electronic da	ta base consulted during the international search (nam	e of data base and, who	ere practicab	le, search terms used)
		·	•	
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where a	ppropriate, of the relev	ant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6,511,180 B2 (GUIRAO et al) 28 January 2003	(28.01.2003), entire do	cument.	1, 4
Y				2, 3, 5-8
				1
x	US 2003/0133074 A1 (PETTIT et al) 17 July 2003	(17.07.2003), entire do	cument.	1, 4-7
		•		
Y				2, 3, 8
Y	US 2003/0071967 A1 (CAMPIN et al) 17 April 200	3 (17.04.2003). entire	document.	1-8
•	05 2005/00/150/111 (Olivii 11/01 al.) 1/ 11pin 200	(1,,00,,12000), 0		
İ				
				[
				
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent f	amily annex	
<u> </u>	pecial categories of cited documents:			the international filing date or priority
- Si	pecial categories of cited documents:			ne application but cited to understand the
	defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be		eory underlying	
of particul	lar relevance	"X" document of p	aeticulae edo	nce; the claimed invention cannot be
"E" earlier ap	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date			considered to involve an inventive step
	• •		ment is taken al	
	which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	uv.		
establish t specified)	he publication date of another citation or other special reason (as			nce; the claimed invention cannot be native step when the document is
sp.c.meu)				her such documents, such combination
"O" document	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	being obvious	to a person skill	led in the art
"P" document	published prior to the international filing date but later than the	"&" document mer	nber of the same	e patent family
-	published prior to the international filing date but later than the	a document files	Or use Salik	, have mini
	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the	internations	al search report
Date of the ac	ctual completion of the international search			ii search report
01 August 20	05 (01.08.2005)	29 AU	u 2003	
	iling address of the ISA/US	Authorized officer		
	I Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Third Zoo officer		actical aller
	nmissioner for Patents	John R. Sanders		Sheuapi. Veney
	. Box 1450	Tolonkons No. (200)	200 0050	Paralegal Specialist
	kandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (703)	308-0858	Tech. Center 3700
Facsimile No.	. (703) 305-3230	l		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	ORITY			
To: DOREEN J. GRIDLEY		PCT		
ICE MILLER				
ONE AMERICAN SQUARE		WR	ITTEN OPINION OF THE	
BOX 82001 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46282-0200			ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
_	_	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	2 9 AUG 2005	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
P00873-WO-01				
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US04/41694	13 December 2004 (13.1		12 December 2003 (12.12.2003)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classificat	ion and IPC		
IPC(7): A61B 3/00 and US Cl.: 351/246	5			
Applicant				
INDIANA UNIVERSITY AND RESEA	RCH TECHNOLOGY CO	DRPORATION		
1. This opinion contains indications re-	lating to the following item	ıs:		
Box No. I Basis of the	e opinion			
Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with rep	gard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability	
Box No. IV Lack of un	ity of invention			
	V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
Box No. VI Certain doc	No. VI Certain documents cited			
Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international ap	tional application		
Box No. VIII Certain obs	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			
2. FURTHER ACTION				
International Preliminary Examinin	ng Authority ("IPEA") ex the IPEA and the chosen I	cept that this does PEA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an e International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) lered.	
IPEA a written reply together, wh mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or b	nere appropriate, with ame efore the expiration of 22 i	endments, before th	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the se expiration of 3 months from the date of prity date, whichever expires later.	
For further options, see Form PCT/	ISA/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to For	m PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ U	S	Authorized office	The Charles	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US John R. Sanders Sheifa H. Vene			(Sheifa H. Veney	
P.O. Box 1450 Paralegal Specialis			I - W.	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. (7	703) 308-0858 Tech. Center 3700	

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/41694

Box No. I Basis of this opinion	
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
a. type of material	
a sequence listing	
table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material	
in written format	
in computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing	
contained in international application as filed.	
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4. Additional comments:	
	i
	į
	i

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/41694

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. Stateme	ent			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	2,3 and 8-19	YES
	• • •	Claims	1 and 4-7	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	9-19	YES
		Claims	1-8	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-19	YES
		Claims	NONE	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1 and 4 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Guirao et al. Guirao discloses the computerized optimization of a refractive prescription based on measured wavefront aberration data that has been analyzed mathematically, such as by Zernike polynomial analysis. Retinal image quality metrics are used to determine the optimal prescription.

Claims 1 and 4-7 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Pettit et al. Pettit discloses determining a treatment prescription via wavefront analysis and further discloses optimizing said prescription based on a classification element in common with the current patient (paragraphs 12-14).

Claims 2, 3 and 8 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Guirao in view of Campin. Campin discloses a method for determining a corrective prescription wherein the wavefront aberrations are determined for the entire range of accommodation and the corrective prescription is optimized at a particular focal length based on the desired optimal focus distance. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the desired optimal focus distance can be based upon the patient's known defocus predisposition (myopia/hyperopia) and/or the environmental situation to which the patient is most commonly subjected (i.e., tasks performed most often).

Claims 9-19 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because though the prior art discloses actually obtaining wavefront data through focus, as in Campin, it does not teach or fairly suggest simulation of said through focus data.

Claim 1-19 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.



Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)